arXiv:1212.3232v1 [hep-th] 13 Dec 2012

The Superparticle on the Surface S_2

D.G.C. McKeon*

Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario,
London, ON N6A 5B7, Canada

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Algoma University, Sault
St.Marie, ON P6A 2G4, Canada

PACS No.: 11:10Ef
Key Words: Superparticle, Rotation Group

Abstract

A superparticle action which is globally supersymmetric in the target space is proposed. The supersymmetry is the supersymmetric extension of the rotation group $O(3)$.

1 Introduction

The superparticle action [1,2] was designed to be invariant under a supersymmetry transformation in the target space. This supersymmetry was taken to be the supersymmetric extension of the Poincaré group.

It is possible to make supersymmetric extensions of other groups; in particular groups of transformations on spaces of constant curvature [3]. In this paper we devise an action for a superparticle which has a target space invariance that is a supersymmetric extension of the rotation group $O(3)$, associated with the sphere S_2 defined by

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 = r^2. \tag{1}$$

2 The Superparticle

The rotation group $O(3)$ leaves the quadratic form of eq. (1) invariant. The generators of this group, J^a have the algebra defined by

$$[J^a, J^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} J^c. \tag{2}$$

---

*Email: dgmckeo2@uwo.ca

One can extend this group [3,4] by introducing Fermionic spinor generators $Q_i, Q_i^\dagger$ plus an additional Bosonic generator Z so that one has the algebras defined by the (anti-)commutators

$$\left\{Q_i, Q_j^\dagger\right\} = Z\delta_{ij} \mp 2\tau^a_{ij} J^a \tag{3a}$$

$$[J^a, Q_i] = -\frac{1}{2}(\tau^a Q)_i \tag{3b}$$

$$[Z, Q_i] = \mp Q_i \tag{3c}$$

in addition to eq. (2). The Pauli matrices τ^a satisfy

$$\tau^a\tau^b = \delta^{ab} + i\epsilon^{abc}\tau^c \tag{4a}$$

$$\tau^a_{ij}\tau^a_{k\ell} = 2\delta_{i\ell}\delta_{kj} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{k\ell} \tag{4b}$$

$$\tau^a_{ij}\delta_{k\ell} + \tau^a_{k\ell}\delta_{ij} = \tau^a_{i\ell}\delta_{kj} + \tau^a_{kj}\delta_{i\ell} \tag{4c}$$

$$\epsilon^{abc}\tau^b_{ij}\tau^c_{k\ell} = i\left(\tau^a_{i\ell}\delta_{kj} - \tau^a_{kj}\delta_{i\ell}\right) \tag{4d}$$

$$\tau^a_{ij}\tau^b_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\delta^{ab}\delta_{i\ell}\delta_{kj} + i\epsilon^{abc}\left(\tau^c_{i\ell}\delta_{kj} - \delta_{i\ell}\tau^c_{kj}\right) + \tau^a_{i\ell}\tau^b_{kj} + \tau^b_{i\ell}\tau^a_{kj} - \delta^{ab}\tau^c_{i\ell}\tau^c_{kj}\right]. \tag{4e}$$

(There is an additional extension of the $O(3)$ algebra whose (anti)-commutators are

$$\left\{Q_i, \tilde{Q}_j\right\} = \tau^a_{ij}J^a \quad \left\{Q_i, Q_j^\dagger\right\} = \tau^a_{ij}Z^a \tag{$5a, b$}$$

$$[J^a, Q_i] = -\frac{1}{2}\tau^a_{ij}Q_j \quad [Z^a, \tilde{Q}_i] = \frac{1}{2}Q_j^\dagger\tau^a_{ji} \tag{$5c, d$}$$

$$[J^a, J^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}J^c \quad [Z^a, Z^b] = -i\epsilon^{abc}J^c \tag{$5e, f$}$$

$$[J^a, Z^b] = i\epsilon^{abc}Z^c \tag{$5g$}$$

where $\tilde{Q} = Q^T\tau^2$ and Z^a is a Bosonic vector.)

We now introduce Bosonic vector coordinates $x^a(\tau)$, a Bosonic scalar coordinate $\beta(\tau)$, and Fermionic spinor coordinates $\theta_i(\tau)$ for a superparticle moving along a trajectory parameterized by τ on the sphere S_2 defined by eq. (1). Next, we define

$$Y^a = \dot{\beta}x^a - \beta\dot{x}^a + \epsilon^{abc}x^b\dot{x}^c + i\left(\dot{\theta}^\dagger\tau^a\theta - \theta^\dagger\tau^a\dot{\theta}\right) \tag{6}$$

and note that $\delta Y^a = 0$ under the supersymmetry transformation

$$\delta\beta = -\epsilon^\dagger\theta - \theta^\dagger\epsilon \tag{$7a$}$$

$$\delta\theta = (-i\tau\cdot x - \beta)\epsilon \tag{$7b$}$$

$$\delta\theta^\dagger = \epsilon^\dagger(i\tau\cdot x - \beta) \tag{$7c$}$$

$$\delta x^a = i(\epsilon^\dagger\tau^a\theta - \theta^\dagger\tau^a\epsilon) \tag{$7d$}$$

where ϵ is a constant Fermionic Dirac Spinor. In addition, the quantity

$$R^2 = x^a x^a - 2\theta^\dagger \theta + \beta^2 \tag{8}$$

is also invariant under the transformation of eq. (7). For an ordinary massless particle moving on a sphere S_2 we would have the Lagrangian

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2e}\left(\epsilon^{abc} x^b \dot{x}^c\right)^2 + \lambda\left(x^a x^a - r^2\right) \tag{9}$$

where $\lambda(\tau)$ is a Lagrange multiplier and $e(\tau)$ is an einbein field. This we now generalize to

$$L = \frac{1}{2e} Y^a Y^a + \lambda\left(x^a x^a - 2\theta^\dagger \theta + \beta^2 - R^2\right). \tag{10}$$

This Lagrangian is obviously invariant under the global supersymmetry transformation of eq. (7).

The generator of the transformation of eq. (7) is $\epsilon^\dagger Q + Q^\dagger \epsilon$ where

$$Q = -\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + (i\tau \cdot x - \beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\dagger} + i\tau \cdot \nabla \theta \tag{11a}$$

$$Q^\dagger = -\theta^\dagger \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(i\tau \cdot x + \beta) - i\theta^\dagger \tau \cdot \nabla\ . \tag{11b}$$

These generators appear also in refs. [3,4]. By using eq. (4), it can be verified that if

$$\delta_i A = \left(\epsilon_i^\dagger Q + Q^\dagger \epsilon_i\right) A \tag{12}$$

then the Jacobi identity

$$\big(\, [\delta_1, [\delta_2, \delta_3]] + [\delta_2, [\delta_3, \delta_1]] + [\delta_3, [\delta_1, \delta_2]]\, \big) A = 0 \tag{13}$$

is satisfied.

It is not immediately clear if in addition to the global supersymmetry of eq. (7) there is also a local supersymmetry that is analogous to the local κ-supersymmetry of Siegel [5] which is present in the superparticle action of refs. [1,2]. One way of uncovering a local symmetry is to make use of the first class constraints that appear when using the Dirac formalism [6] for analyzing the canonical structure of a model [7,8]. This approach has been used in conjunction with the superparticle of refs. [1,2] in $d = 3$ and $d = 4$ dimensions in ref. [9] to derive κ symmetry. We now make some preliminary steps in this direction for the model of eq. (10).

The canonical momenta conjugate to $(x^a, \beta, \lambda, e, \theta^\dagger, \theta)$ respectively are given by

$$p^a = \frac{1}{e}\left(-\beta Y^a + \epsilon^{abc} Y^b x^c\right) \tag{14a}$$

$$p_\beta = \frac{1}{e} x^a Y^a \tag{14b}$$

$$p_\lambda = 0 \tag{14c}$$

$$p_e = 0 \tag{14d}$$

$$\pi = \frac{i}{e}\ \left(\tau \cdot Y\theta\right) \tag{14e}$$

$$\pi^\dagger = \frac{i}{e}(\theta^\dagger \tau \cdot Y). \tag{14f}$$

(We use the left hand derivative for Fermionic variables.) From eqs. (14a,b) it follows immediately that we have the primary constraint

$$\Pi = x^a p^a + \beta p_\beta = 0. \tag{15}$$

Furthermore, from eq. (14a) we obtain

$$Y^a = \frac{e}{x^2 + \beta^2}\left(-\beta\delta^{ab} + \epsilon^{apb}x^p - \frac{1}{\beta}x^a x^b\right)p^b \tag{16}$$

and so eqs. (14d,e) result in two more primary constraints

$$\chi = \pi - i\,\Xi\,\theta = 0 \tag{17a}$$

$$\chi^\dagger = \pi^\dagger - i\theta^\dagger \Xi = 0 \tag{17b}$$

where

$$\Xi = \frac{1}{x^2 + \beta^2}\left(-\beta p^a + \epsilon^{abc}x^b p^c - \frac{1}{\beta}x^a x \cdot p\right)\tau^a .$$

Eq. (17) is immediately seen to provide a pair of primary second class constraints as[1] (using eq. (4e))

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\chi, \chi^\dagger\right\} = {} & \frac{2i}{\beta}\left(p \cdot \tau\theta^\dagger\theta - \theta^\dagger p \cdot \tau\theta\right) \\ & +2i\left[(1 + 2\theta^\dagger\theta)\Xi - 2\theta^\dagger\Xi\theta\right].\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The canonical Hamiltonian for our system is given by

$$H_c = \dot{\theta}^\dagger\pi + \dot{\theta}^T\pi^{\dagger T} + \dot{x}^a p^a + \dot{\beta}p_\beta - L$$

---

[1] For Poisson Brackets (PB) involving Bosonic variables B_i and Fermionic variables F_i, depending on Bosonic canonical pairs (q, p) and Fermionic canonical pairs (ψ, π), we use the conventions

$$\{B_1, B_2\} = (B_{1,q}B_{2,p} - B_{2,q}B_{1,p}) + (B_{1,\psi}B_{2,\pi} - B_{2,\psi}B_{1,\pi})$$

$$\{B, F\} = -\{F, B\} = (B_{,q}F_{,p} - F_{,q}B_{,p}) + (B_{,\psi}F_{,\pi} + F_{,\psi}B_{,\pi})$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\} = (F_{1,q}F_{2,p} + F_{2,q}F_{1,p}) - (F_{1,\psi}F_{2,\pi} + F_{2,\psi}F_{1,\pi}).$$

$$= \frac{1}{2e} Y^a Y^a - \lambda \left(x^2 - 2\theta^\dagger \theta + \beta^2 - R^2 \right) \tag{19}$$

which by eq. (16) becomes

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{e}{x^2 + \beta^2} (p^2 + p_\beta^2) - \lambda (x^2 - 2\theta^\dagger \theta + \beta^2 - R^2) \; . \tag{20}$$

Eqs. (14c,d; 15; 17a,b) are all primary; eqs. (14c,d) imply the secondary constraints

$$\Sigma_1 = x^2 - 2\theta^\dagger \theta + \beta^2 - R^2 = 0 \tag{21a}$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{p^2 + p_\beta^2}{x^2 + \beta^2} = 0. \tag{21b}$$

The PB $\{\Pi, \Sigma_2\}$ weakly vanishes but the PB $\{\Pi, \Sigma_1\}$ does not. However, to classify the constraints $(\Pi, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ it would be first necessary to define the Dirac Bracket (DB) that follows from the second class constraints of eq. (17) and then see whether or not the DB of any two of $(\Pi, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$ weakly vanishes. The first class constraints can then be used to construct the generator of a local supersymmetry gauge transformation. This is what can be done with the superparticle model of refs. [1,2] (see ref. [9]); the local κ supersymmetry is a consequence of the first class constraints of this model. However, it is not immediately apparent how to use eq. (18) to define an appropriate DB for the model of eq. (10). We do speculate that never the less a local supersymmetry is a gauge symmetry for this model.

Conclusions

We have introduced a Lagrangian that is invariant under a global supersymmetry transformation that is an extension of the rotation group $O(3)$. It is possible that there is also a local supersymmetric transformation that leaves this Lagrangian unchanged.

We note that the stereographic projection

$$x^a = \frac{2\eta^a r^2}{r^2 + \eta^2} \quad (a = 1, 2) \tag{22a}$$

$$x^3 = r \left(\frac{r^2 - \eta^2}{r^2 + \eta^2} \right) \tag{22b}$$

can be used to map coordinates on the surface of a sphere S_2 defined by eq. (1) onto the Euclidean plane defined by (η^1, η^2). If instead of eq. (1), we have the constraint of eq. (21a), we would replace r^2 in eq. (22) with $R^2 + 2\theta^\dagger \theta - \beta^2$.

It would be of interest to find superparticle Lagrangians that are invariant under supersymmetric extensions of other symmetry groups associated with spaces of constant curvature, such as AdS and dS spaces. Quantization of such models would be non-trivial.

We note that there recently has been some interest in exploring supersymmetry in curved spaces; see for example ref. [10].

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Alex Buchel for conversations and R. Macleod for a timely suggestion.

References

[1] R. Casalbuoni, *Phys. Lett.* **62B**, 49 (1976).

[2] L. Brink and J.H. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **100B**, 310 (1981).

[3] D.G.C. McKeon and T.N. Sherry, *Can. J. Phys.* **83**, 23 (2005).

[4] D.G.C. McKeon, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 17**, 2545 (2002).

[5] W. Siegel,*Phys. Lett.* **128B**, 397 (1983).

[6] P.A.M. Dirac, "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics" (Dover, Mineola, 2001).

[7] M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *Nucl. Phys.* **B332**, 169 (1990).

[8] L. Castellani, *Ann. of Phys.* **143**, 357 (1982).

[9] D.G.C. McKeon, arxiv hep-th 1209.4908.

[10] T.T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, hep-th 1205.1115.